



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/217,937	12/22/1998	HITOSHI ONODA	862-2583	3759

5514 7590 05/08/2003

FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO  
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA  
NEW YORK, NY 10112

[REDACTED] EXAMINER

ROSENDALE, MATTHEW L

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2612	[REDACTED]

DATE MAILED: 05/08/2003

7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/217,937	ONODA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Matthew L Rosendale	2612	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

**A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.**

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_.  
 2a) This action is **FINAL**.                  2b) This action is non-final.  
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.  
 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.  
 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.  
 6) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected.  
 7) Claim(s) 1 is/are objected to.  
 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 22 December 1998 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
     Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  
     If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  
 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120**

13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
 a) All b) Some \* c) None of:  
     1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
     2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_.  
     3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  
     \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  
 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  
     a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  
 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

**Attachment(s)**

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). ____ .
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>3,5</u> .	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Drawings***

Figures 15a – 15c should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 16 – 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 16 recites the limitation "said change designation determination step". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. For examination purposes, "said change designation determination step" will be interpreted as, "said main object area changing step".

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

1. Claims 1, 2, 5, 8, 12 – 19, and 22 – 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kitazawa.

Referring to claim 1, Kitazawa discloses an area discriminating means, a main object detecting means, a main object changing means for allowing the photographer to change the detected main object as shown in figures 5a – 5d, and a focusing means for performing a focal adjustment of the selected main object area as shown in figure 6 (Col. 6, Line 44 – Col. 7, Line 66).

2. Referring to claim 2, Kitazawa discloses an object area changing means for selecting object areas that are horizontally perpendicular to the optical axis as shown in figures 5a – 5d. The objects areas are selected in order where the next main object is located horizontally adjacent to the current main object as indicated by the indicating LED's 8a – 8c.

3. Referring to claim 5, Kitazawa discloses a slide switch used to select the main objects located to the right, left, and center of the central point in the scene (Col. 5, Lines 18 – 37).

4. Referring to claim 8, Kitazawa discloses a manual slide switch for selecting object areas (Col. 5, Lines 18 – 37).

5. Referring to claim 12, Kitazawa determines the main object in a scene by performing a predetermined evaluation operation on each of the determined object areas (Col. 6, Lines 10 – 32).

6. Referring to claim 13, the main object determination means of Kitazawa automatically determines the main object area (Col. 6, Lines 10 – 32).

7. Referring to claim 14, Kitazawa discloses a priority order determination means that calculates the main object based on an algorithm such as determining the object having the closest distance. That object is selected as the highest priority object area and is set as the main object. The user changes the main object by selecting an object adjacent to the initial main object that has a lower calculated priority based on the algorithm used by Kitazawa. Kitazawa calculated an initial main object based on an algorithm (Col. 6, Lines 22 – 32) and sets the focus of the camera accordingly. The initial main object selected by the camera of Kitazawa is determined to have the highest priority. When the user changes the main object by selecting a new main object adjacent to the current initial main object, the user is changing in descending priority order because the object areas that are adjacent to the initial main object have a lower priority than the initial main object as determined by the algorithm of Kitazawa.

8. Referring to claim 15, in the priority order determination step of Kitazawa, a main object area is selected based on an algorithm that calculates an evaluation value for each object such as object distance. That object is selected as the highest priority object area and is set as the main

object. The user changes the main object by selecting an object adjacent to the initial main object that has a lower calculated priority based on the algorithm used by Kitazawa (Col. 6, Lines 22 – 32). The initial main object selected by the camera of Kitazawa is determined to have the highest priority. When the user changes the main object by selecting a new main object adjacent to the current initial main object, the user is changing in descending priority order because the object areas that are adjacent to the initial main object have a lower priority than the initial main object as determined by the algorithm of Kitazawa.

9. Referring to claim 16, Kitazawa discloses a method of determine a main focus object in a scene by operating an area discriminating means for discriminating a plurality of areas based on a special frequency of areas in a direction that is horizontally perpendicular to the central optical axis as shown in figures 5a – 5d, a main object detecting means for detecting an initial main object based on a predetermined criteria (Col. 6, Lines 10 – 32), a main object changing means for allowing the photographer to change the detected main object as shown in figures 5a – 5d, a change object detection means that detects whether or not there is an instruction to change the main object area, a control step for disabling the main object changing means when it is determined that there is no instruction to change the main object area (Col. 8, Line 67 – Col. 20, Line 6), and a focusing means for performing a focal adjustment of the selected main object area (Col. 5, Lines 18 – 37).

10. Referring to claim 17, Kitazawa discloses an object area changing means for selecting object areas that are horizontally perpendicular to the optical axis as shown in figures 5a – 5d.

The objects areas are selected in order where the next main object is located horizontally adjacent to the current main object as indicated by the indicating LED's 8a – 8c and the user designates the new main object by operating a slide switch located on the outside body of the camera (Col. 5, Lines 2 – 37).

11. Referring to claim 18, the slide switch of Kitazawa designates right and left directions (Col. 5, Lines 17 – 26).

12. Referring to claim 19, the directions designated by the area selecting means of Kitazawa is horizontally perpendicular to the central optical axis as shown in figures 5a – 5d.

13. Referring to claim 22, Kitazawa determines the main object in a scene by performing a predetermined evaluation operation on each of the determined object areas (Col. 6, Lines 10 – 32).

14. Referring to claim 23, the main object determination means of Kitazawa automatically determines the main object area (Col. 6, Lines 10 – 32).

15. Referring to claim 24, Kitazawa discloses that the user may instruct the camera to change the main object area by operating a slide switch to select a new main object (Col. 5, Lines 2 – 37).

Art Unit: 2612

16. Referring to claim 25, Kitazawa discloses a priority order determination step that calculates the main object based on an algorithm such as determining the object having the closest distance. That object is selected as the highest priority object area and is set as the main object. The user changes the main object by selecting an object adjacent to the initial main object that has a lower calculated priority based on the algorithm used by Kitazawa. Kitazawa calculated an initial main object based on an algorithm (Col. 6, Lines 22 – 32) and sets the focus of the camera accordingly. The initial main object selected by the camera of Kitazawa is determined to have the highest priority. When the user changes the main object by selecting a new main object adjacent to the current initial main object, the user is changing in descending priority order because the object areas that are adjacent to the initial main object have a lower priority than the initial main object as determined by the algorithm of Kitazawa.

17. Referring to claim 26, in the priority order determination step of Kitazawa, a main object area is selected based on an algorithm that calculates an evaluation value for each object such as object distance. That object is selected as the highest priority object area and is set as the main object. The user changes the main object by selecting an object adjacent to the initial main object that has a lower calculated priority based on the algorithm used by Kitazawa. Kitazawa calculated an initial main object based on an algorithm (Col. 6, Lines 22 – 32) and sets the focus of the camera accordingly. The initial main object selected by the camera of Kitazawa is determined to have the highest priority. When the user changes the main object by selecting a new main object adjacent to the current initial main object, the user is changing in descending

Art Unit: 2612

priority order because the object areas that are adjacent to the initial main object have a lower priority than the initial main object as determined by the algorithm of Kitazawa.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

18. Claims 3, 6, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kitazawa.

Referring to claim 3, Kitazawa discloses a slide switch having two directions for changing the main object area. Kitazawa does not disclose a rotary dial for selecting the object areas. However, Official notice is taken that bi-direction rotary dials are well known in the art. Therefore it would have been obvious to use as the object selecting means of Kitazawa to provide the user with the means to change object areas in a scene.

19. Referring to claim 6, Kitazawa discloses a slide switch having two directions for changing the main object area by cycling through adjacent object areas. Kitazawa does not disclose multiple slide switches. However, Official Notice is taken that toggle switches are well known in the art. Therefore it and would have been obvious to provide a toggle switch for selecting each individual object area of Kitazawa so the user can select the main object area by activating the corresponding toggle switch for that object area instead of having to cycle though

other unwanted areas until ultimately arriving at the user intended object area using a single slide switch.

20. Referring to claim 7, Kitazawa discloses a slide switch having two directions for changing the main object area. Kitazawa does not disclose a trackball. However, Official Notice is taken that track balls are well known in the art. Therefore it would have been obvious to provide as the selecting means of Kitazawa so the user can directly select the main object area without having to cycle though other unwanted areas.

21. Claims 9 – 11, 20, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kitazawa in view of Saito.

Referring to claim 9, Kitazawa discloses an area discriminating means that detects object distances in a scene and selects the shortest distanced object as the initial main object (Col. 6, lines 22 – 32). Kitazawa discloses an object changing means but the selection is made based on the object area that is directly adjacent to the current object area and not based on the next greater or lesser detected distance.

However, Saito discloses a rotary dial used in a manual focus to set the object distance by turning the dial one direction to increase object distance and turning the dial in the opposite direction to decrease the distance (Col. 6, Lines 39 – 58).

Therefore it would have been obvious to provide the rotary operation means and manual focus teachings of Saito with the object detection and manual focus means of the Kitazawa so

that objects can be selected by rotating the dial in one direction to focus on a close object or rotate the dial in another direction to focus on a far away object detected in the scene.

22. Referring to claim 10, the direction designating means of Saito is a member of the image sensing optical system that directs the depth of focus (Col. 6, Lines 39 – 58).

23. Referring to claim 11, the direction designation means of Saito is operated in manual (Col. 6, Lines 39 – 58).

24. Referring to claim 20, Kitazawa discloses an area discriminating means that detects object distances in a scene and selects the shortest distanced object as the initial main object (Col. 6, lines 22 – 32). Kitazawa discloses an object changing means but the selection is made based on the object area that is directly adjacent to the current object area and not based on the next greater or lesser detected distance.

However, Saito discloses a rotary dial used in a manual focus to set the object distance by turning the dial one direction to increase object distance and turning the dial in the opposite direction to decrease the distance (Col. 6, Lines 39 – 58).

Therefore it would have been obvious to provide the rotary operation means and manual focus teachings of Saito with the object detection and manual focus means of the Kitazawa so that objects can be selected by rotating the dial in one direction to focus on a close object or rotate the dial in another direction to focus on a far away object detected in the scene.

Art Unit: 2612

25. Referring to claim 21, Saito discloses that the direction designated is an optical direction relating to object depth in a scene (Col. 6, Lines 39 – 58).

26. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kitazawa in view of Saito in further view of Ganser et al.

Referring to claim 4, Kitazawa discloses a slide switch as the object area changing means by selecting a new main object area which is adjacent to the current main object. Kitazawa does not teach using a rotary dial to select object areas based on object distance in a scene. However, Saito discloses a rotary dial used in a manual focus to set the object distance by turning the dial one direction to increase object distance and turning the dial in the opposite direction to decrease the distance (Col. 6, Lines 39 – 58).

Therefore it would have been obvious to provide the rotary operation means and manual focus teachings of Saito with the object detection and manual focus means of the Kitazawa so that objects can be selected by rotating the dial in one direction to focus on a close object or rotate the dial in another direction to focus on a far away object detected in the scene.

Saito discloses a single bi-direction dial for increasing or decreasing object distance in a manual focus device. Saito does not disclose a plurality of rotary dials. However, Ganser et al discloses a focus adjustment comprising a knob 2 in figure 1 having two rotary operating members; a first member for a coarse focus adjustment; and a second for a fine focus adjustment (Col. 3, Line 63 – Col. 4, Line 5). Therefore it would have been obvious to provide the focus adjustment means of Ganser with the focus device of Saito so the user can use a first rotary member to coarsely adjust the object distance to an approximate position of the intended object

of focus, then use the fine adjustment rotary member to set the exact distance of the intended main object to perform an accurate focus adjustment.

27. Claims 1, 2, 8 – 19, and 22 – 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicants Conceded Prior Art ACPA in view of Kodama.

Referring to claim 1, the applicant discloses a well known optical device comprising an area discriminating means for discriminating a plurality of areas in a sensed image on the basis of a predetermined condition as shown in figures 15a – 15c and a main object area determination means for determining a main object area out of the plurality of areas discriminated by the area discrimination means by computing the probability of each area by inputting the size and position of each area into a probability equation listed in the applicants prior art. The applicant also discloses that it is well known to perform a focus adjustment based on the detected main object but does not show a main object area changing means for changing the main object area to another area.

However Kodama discloses a teaching that focus systems that detect main objects in a scene may not always correctly focus on what the user intents to capture as the main object in a picture. Therefore Kodama discloses that it would be advantageous to provide a means to manually change the focus area to focus on the main object the user intends to shoot (Col. 1, Lines 24 – 34). Therefore it would have been obvious to combine the teachings of Kodama with the applicants conceded prior art to provide a main object changing means for changing the main object to another area that the user intends to be the main object.

Art Unit: 2612

28. Referring to claim 2, the applicant discloses an area discriminating means that can detect object areas horizontally perpendicular to the central optical axis such as the walls and trees in the example scene in figures 15a – 15c, and also the area discriminating means of the ACPA can also detect object areas such as the clouds in figures 15a – 15c that are vertically perpendicular to the central optical axis. The applicant does not disclose a main object changing means for designating a new main object.

However Kodama discloses a teaching that focus systems that detect main objects in a scene may not always correctly focus on what the user intents to capture as the main object in a picture. Therefore Kodama discloses that it would be advantageous to provide a means to manually change the focus area to focus on the main object the user intends to shoot (Col. 1, Lines 24 – 34).

Therefore it would have been obvious that by changing the main object area such as the man in figures 15a – 15c to something such as the right wall and tress, the user would be selecting an area horizontally perpendicular to the central optical axis.

29. Referring to claim 8, the applicant does not disclose a main object changing means for manually designating a new main object.

However Kodama discloses a teaching that focus systems that detect main objects in a scene may not always correctly focus on what the user intents to capture as the main object in a picture. Therefore Kodama discloses that it would be advantageous to provide a means to manually change the focus area to focus on the main object the user intends to shoot (Col. 1, Lines 24 – 34). Therefore it would have been obvious to combine the teachings of Kodama with

Art Unit: 2612

the applicants conceded prior art to provide a main object changing means for changing the main object to another area that the user intends to be the main object.

30. Referring to claim 9, the applicant discloses prior art comprising an area discriminating means that discriminates a plurality of areas in an object image based upon distances to objects included in the image as shown in figures 15a – 15c. Figure 15b shows a distance measurement that returns the detected object distance to the camera. The detected distances in figure 15b are compared to each other to determine separate objects in an image scene and are grouped accordingly in figure 15c. The applicant does not disclose a main object changing means for designating a new main object.

However Kodama discloses a teaching that focus systems that detect main objects in a scene may not always correctly focus on what the user intents to capture as the main object in a picture. Therefore Kodama discloses that it would be advantageous to provide a means to manually change the focus area to focus on the main object the user intends to shoot (Col. 1, Lines 24 – 34). Therefore it would have been obvious to combine the teachings of Kodama with the applicants conceded prior art to provide a main object changing means for changing the main object to another area that the user intends to be the main object.

31. Referring to claim 10, the direction designating means of Kodama is a focusing member of an image sensing optical system used to determine the visual axis of the user in turn selecting the focus object of a scene.

32. Referring to claim 11, Kodama discloses a focusing member of an image sensor optical system used to manually select the visual axis of the user in turn selecting the focus object of a scene.

33. Referring to claim 12, the applicant discloses a main object area determination means for determining a main object area out of the plurality of areas discriminated by the area discrimination means by computing an evaluation value being the probability of each area being the main object area by inputting the size and position of each area into a probability equation listed in the applicants prior art.

34. Referring to claim 13, the applicant discloses that the main object determining means automatically determines the main object area as discloses in the applicants conceded prior art.

35. Referring to claim 14, the applicant discloses a main object area determination step for determining a main object area out of the plurality of areas discriminated by the area discrimination means by computing an evaluation value being the probability of each area being the main object area by inputting the size and position of each area into a probability equation listed in the applicants prior art.

The applicant is only performing the evaluation to determine the main object area because the prior art of the applicant has no intent on changing the main object area so there is no step of deterring a priority order. However Kodama discloses a teaching that focus systems that detect main objects in a scene may not always correctly focus on what the user intents to

capture as the main object in a picture. Therefore Kodama discloses that it would be advantageous to provide a means to manually change the focus area to focus on the main object the user intends to shoot (Col. 1, Lines 24 – 34).

In changing the main object area of the applicant's prior art using the teachings of Kodama, it would have been obvious to provide a priority order based on the evaluation values of the applicants prior art to determine an order to which the user may manually cycle through object areas to find the one most desirable for focusing upon. By listing object areas in order of priority it is more likely the user will find the correct main object area efficiently than by searching a random sequence of object areas in a scene.

36. Referring to claim 15, the applicant discloses a main object area determination means for determining a main object area out of the plurality of areas discriminated by the area discrimination means by computing an evaluation value being the probability of each area being the main object area by inputting the size and position of each area into a probability equation listed in the applicants prior art.

37. Referring to claim 16, the applicant discloses a well known method of focusing an object image comprising an area discriminating step for discriminating a plurality of areas in a sensed image on the basis of a predetermined condition as shown in figures 15a – 15c and a main object area determination step for determining a main object area out of the plurality of areas discriminated by the area discrimination means by computing the probability of each area by inputting the size and position of each area into a probability equation listed in the applicants

Art Unit: 2612

prior art. The applicant also discloses that it is well known to perform a focus adjustment based on the detected main object but does not show a main object area changing means for changing the main object area to another area.

However Kodama discloses a teaching that focus systems that detect main objects in a scene may not always correctly focus on what the user intents to capture as the main object in a picture. Therefore Kodama discloses that it would be advantageous to provide a means to manually change the focus area to focus on the main object the user intends to shoot (Col. 1, Lines 24 – 34). Therefore it would have been obvious to combine the teachings of Kodama with the applicants conceded prior art to provide a main object changing means for changing the main object to another area that the user intends to be the main object.

38. Referring to claim 17, the applicant discloses an area discriminating means that can detect object areas horizontally perpendicular to the central optical axis such as the walls and trees in the example scene in figures 15a – 15c, and also the area discriminating means of the ACPA can also detect object areas such as the clouds in figures 15a – 15c that are vertically perpendicular to the central optical axis. The applicant does not disclose a main object changing means for designating a new main object.

However Kodama discloses a teaching that focus systems that detect main objects in a scene may not always correctly focus on what the user intents to capture as the main object in a picture. Therefore Kodama discloses that it would be advantageous to provide a means to manually change the focus area to focus on the main object the user intends to shoot (Col. 1, Lines 24 – 34). In changing to a next area to be selected as the new main object, it would have

been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide some means of cycling through the object areas in the scene in an order such as selecting an area next to the current main object instead of randomly choosing a new main object so the user can systematically change the object area.

39. Referring to claim 18, the applicant discloses an area discriminating means that can detect object areas horizontally perpendicular to the central optical axis such as the walls and trees in the example scene in figures 15a – 15c, and also the area discriminating means of the ACPA can also detect object areas such as the clouds in figures 15a – 15c that are vertically perpendicular to the central optical axis. The applicant does not disclose a main object changing means for designating a new main object.

However Kodama discloses a teaching that focus systems that detect main objects in a scene may not always correctly focus on what the user intents to capture as the main object in a picture. Therefore Kodama discloses that it would be advantageous to provide a means to manually change the focus area to focus on the main object the user intends to shoot (Col. 1, Lines 24 – 34). In changing the main object area it would have been obvious to designate a direction relative to the current main object such as right or left to move the main object horizontally to be one of the walls as shown in figures 15a – 15c, or move the main object selection vertically to select the clouds also shown in figures 15a – 15c thereby selecting the main object from two directions horizontal and vertical.

Art Unit: 2612

40. Referring to claim 19, the applicant discloses an area discriminating means that can detect object areas horizontally perpendicular to the central optical axis such as the walls and trees in the example scene in figures 15a – 15c, and also the area discriminating means of the ACPA can also detect object areas such as the clouds in figures 15a – 15c that are vertically perpendicular to the central optical axis. The applicant does not disclose a main object changing means for designating a new main object.

However Kodama discloses a teaching that focus systems that detect main objects in a scene may not always correctly focus on what the user intents to capture as the main object in a picture. Therefore Kodama discloses that it would be advantageous to provide a means to manually change the focus area to focus on the main object the user intends to shoot (Col. 1, Lines 24 – 34). In changing the main object area it would have been obvious to designate a direction relative to the current main object such as right or left to move the main object horizontally to be one of the walls as shown in figures 15a – 15c, or move the main object selection vertically to select the clouds also shown in figures 15a – 15c thereby selecting the main object from two directions horizontally and vertically perpendicular to the central optical axis.

41. Referring to claim 22, the applicant discloses a main object area determination step for determining a main object area out of the plurality of areas discriminated by the area discrimination means by computing an evaluation value being the probability of each area being the main object area by inputting the size and position of each area into a probability equation listed in the applicants prior art.

42. Referring to claim 23, the applicant discloses that the main object determining means automatically determines the main object area as disclosed in the applicants conceded prior art.

43. Referring to claim 24, the applicant does not show a main object area changing step for manually changing the main object area to another area.

However Kodama discloses a teaching that focus systems that detect main objects in a scene may not always correctly focus on what the user intends to capture as the main object in a picture. Therefore Kodama discloses that it would be advantageous to provide a means to manually change the focus area to focus on the main object the user intends to shoot (Col. 1, Lines 24 – 34).

Therefore it would have been obvious to combine the teachings of Kodama with the applicants conceded prior art to provide a manual main object changing means for changing the main object to another area that the user intends to be the main object.

44. Referring to claim 25, the applicant discloses a main object area determination step for determining a main object area out of the plurality of areas discriminated by the area discrimination means by computing an evaluation value being the probability of each area being the main object area by inputting the size and position of each area into a probability equation listed in the applicants prior art.

The applicant is only performing the evaluation to determine the main object area because the prior art of the applicant has no intent on changing the main object area so there is

no step of deterring a priority order. However Kodama discloses a teaching that focus systems that detect main objects in a scene may not always correctly focus on what the user intents to capture as the main object in a picture. Therefore Kodama discloses that it would be advantageous to provide a means to manually change the focus area to focus on the main object the user intends to shoot (Col. 1, Lines 24 – 34).

In changing the main object area of the applicant's prior art using the teachings of Kodama, it would have been obvious to provide a priority order based on the evaluation values of the applicants prior art to determine an order to which the user may manually cycle through object areas to find the one most desirable for focusing upon. By listing object areas in order of priority it is more likely the user will find the correct main object area efficiently than by searching a random sequence of object areas in a scene.

45. Referring to claim 26, the applicant discloses a main object area determination step for determining a main object area out of the plurality of areas discriminated by the area discrimination means by computing an evaluation value being the probability of each area being the main object area by inputting the size and position of each area into a probability equation listed in the applicants prior art.

The applicant is only performing the evaluation to determine the main object area because the prior art of the applicant has no intent on changing the main object area so there is no step of deterring a priority order. However Kodama discloses a teaching that focus systems that detect main objects in a scene may not always correctly focus on what the user intents to capture as the main object in a picture. Therefore Kodama discloses that it would be

advantageous to provide a means to manually change the focus area to focus on the main object the user intends to shoot (Col. 1, Lines 24 – 34).

In changing the main object area of the applicant's prior art using the teachings of Kodama, it would have been obvious to provide a priority order based on the evaluation values of the applicants prior art to determine an order to which the user may manually cycle through object areas to find the one most desirable for focusing upon. By listing object areas in order of priority it is more likely the user will find the correct main object area efficiently than by searching a random sequence of object areas in a scene.

46. Claims 3, 20, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over ACPA in view of Kodama in further view of Saito.

Referring to claim 3, Kodama discloses that a detected main object is changed by detecting the visual axis of the photographer's eye in the viewfinder. Kodama does not disclose a rotary operation member such as a dial, capable of rotating at least in two directions. However, Saito discloses a rotary dial used in a manual focus to set the object distance by turning the dial one direction to increase object distance and turning the dial in the opposite direction to decrease the distance (Col. 6, Lines 39 – 58).

The applicant's conceded prior art shows that objects are determined based on their determined distance from the camera detected in the scene as shown in figure 15b. Therefore it would have been obvious to provide the rotary operation means and manual focus teachings of Saito with the object detection means of the applicants conceded prior art so that objects can be

Art Unit: 2612

selected by rotating the dial in one direction to focus on a close object or rotate the dial in another direction to focus on a far away object detected in the scene.

47. Referring to claim 20, Kodama discloses that a detected main object is changed by detecting the visual axis of the photographer's eye in the viewfinder. Kodama does not disclose a method of changing the main object based on object distance in the scene where the main object is changed based on the next object with next shorter or longer distance depending on whether the user is selecting an object closer to or farther away from the camera relative to the current main object. However, Saito discloses a rotary dial used in a manual focus to set the object distance by turning the dial one direction to increase object distance and turning the dial in the opposite direction to decrease the distance (Col. 6, Lines 39 – 58).

The applicant's conceded prior art shows that objects are determined based on their determined distance from the camera detected in the scene as shown in figure 15b. Therefore it would have been obvious to provide the rotary operation means and manual focus teachings of Saito with the object detection means of the applicants conceded prior art so that objects can be selected by rotating the dial in one direction to focus on a close object or rotate the dial in another direction to focus on a far away object detected in the scene.

48. Referring to claim 21, Saito discloses that the direction designated is an optical direction relating to object depth in a scene (Col. 6, Lines 39 – 58).

49. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over ACPA in view of Kodama in further view of Saito in further view of Ganser et al.

Referring to claim 4, Saito discloses a single bi-direction dial for increasing or decreasing object distance in a manual focus device. Saito does not disclose a plurality of rotary dials. However, Ganser et al discloses a focus adjustment comprising a knob 2 in figure 1 having two rotary operating members; a first member for a course focus adjustment; and a second for a fine focus adjustment (Col. 3, Line 63 – Col. 4, Line 5). Therefore it would have been obvious to provide the focus adjustment means of Ganser with the focus device of Saito so the user can use a first rotary member to coarsely adjust the object distance to an approximate position of the intended object of focus, then use the fine adjustment rotary member to set the exact distance of the intended main object to perform an accurate focus adjustment.

50. Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over ACPA in view of Kodama in further view of Hirota.

Referring to claim 5, Kodama discloses that a detected main object is changed by detecting the visual axis of the photographer's eye in the viewfinder. Kodama does not disclose a slide member such as a multi position switch, capable of designating at least two directions. However, Hirota discloses a plurality of slide switches used to designate the focusing area in an image as AE1' or AE2' as shown in figure 11B (Col. 15, Lines 43 – 62).

Hirota teaches a plurality of unidirectional On/Off type switches to select focusing areas. However, Official Notice is taken that toggle switches having two directions are well known in the art. Therefore would have been obvious to use as the switching means of Hirota so that the

focusing areas can be selected by only having to use one switch instead of a plurality of switches saving space on the camera by providing a switch capable of selecting multiple object areas instead of a plurality of switches each corresponding to one object area.

The applicant's conceded prior art shows that object areas are separated and numbered in the field of view as shown in figure 15c. Therefore it would have been obvious to provide a slide member such as switch as disclosed by Hirota as a means of selecting an object area from a plurality of areas determined by the object determining means of the applicants conceded prior art when the camera is set in a manual focus mode.

51. Referring to claim 6, Hirota discloses a plurality of slide switches used to designate the focusing area in an image as AE1' or AE2' as shown in figure 11B (Col. 15, Lines 43 – 62).

52. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over ACPA in view of Kodama in further view of Abe.

Referring to claim 7, Kodama discloses that a detected main object is changed by detecting the visual axis of the photographer's eye in the viewfinder. Kodama does not disclose a track ball as a direction designation means to manually select a main object. However Abe discloses a track ball used by user to manually select an object area in a scene sensed by the camera (Col. 18, Lines 42 – 61).

The applicant's conceded prior art shows that object areas are separated and numbered in the field of view as shown in figure 15c. Therefore it would have been obvious to provide the track ball of Abe as a means of allowing the user to directly select a main object from the

plurality of areas determined by the object determining means of the applicants conceded prior art which would allow the user to find the main object in less time than cycling through each object on at a time.

### ***Claim Objections***

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 1 recites a focus control means for focusing on the main object. However claim 1 also shows a main object area changing means for changing the main object are to another area. It is unclear whether the focusing means of claim 1 is focusing on the original main object or the current area of the viewing area that has been designated the main object by the main object changing means. Appropriate correction is required but for examination purposes, the focus control means of claim 1 will be interpreted as focusing on the main object area determined by the main object area determining means or the object area determined by the main object area changing means.

### ***Conclusion***

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Takayama 5,640,619 discloses a manual focusing means that allows a user to select a focusing area from a plurality of areas in a detected scene.

Ozaki 5,608,489 discloses a manual focusing means that detects the visual axis of a users eyeball in a viewfinder and selects a focus object based on said detected axis.

Art Unit: 2612

Mukai 5,659,823 discloses a manual focusing means that allows a user to select a focusing area from a plurality of areas in a detected scene.

Bacus 2002/0061127 discloses a microscope system that allows a user to select an object from a low resolution preview of the entire slide using a computer, and the selected object becomes an object of focus where a high resolution, magnified image of said object is produced.

Kawabata 6,370,262 discloses a method of detecting objects in a scene and determining each object's distance.

Murakami 5,003,400 shows a manual focus that can be implemented by a rotary dial or a slide member as shown in figures 4a and 4b.

Nakamura 6,035,139 shows a plurality of focus areas in figures 6a – 6c that are sequenced through by operating a rotary dial.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew L Rosendale whose telephone number is (703) 305-4909. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 8: 00am-6: 00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wendy Garber can be reached on (703) 305-4929. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular communications and (703) 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to customer service whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Art Unit: 2612

MLR

May 5, 2003

  
WENDY R. GARBER  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600